

# Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

# REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Item No. 23-120 For business meeting on July 21, 2023

#### Title

Trial Court Budget: Fiscal Year 2023–24 Allocation of Community Assistance, Recovery, and Empowerment (CARE) Act Funding

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected None

#### Recommended by

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair Agenda Item Type Action Required

**Effective Date** July 1, 2023

Date of Report June 7, 2023

Contact Don Will, 415-865-7557 don.will@jud.ca.gov

### **Executive Summary**

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) recommends allocation to courts of the \$20 million for fiscal year 2023–24 included in the 2023 Budget Act for implementation of the Community Assistance, Recovery, and Empowerment (CARE) Act. The allocation may change based on final appropriations included in the signed Budget Act of 2023 (Sen. Bill 101; Stats. 2023, ch. 12). The TCBAC presented this recommendation to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee on May 17, 2023, and the Budget Committee approved it for consideration by the Judicial Council.

### Recommendation

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective July 1, 2023:

 Approve, for Cohort One courts implementing the CARE Act, an allocation that employs the Workload Formula with a base of 25 CARE Act cases, calculated at \$93,225, for fiscal year (FY) 2023–24;

- 2. Approve, for Cohort One courts implementing the CARE Act, an allocation that employs the Workload Formula with a base of \$98,000, prorated to the amount that Cohort One courts are estimated to receive in FY 2024–25 when all courts are implementing the CARE Act;
- 3. Approve, for Cohort Two courts, an allocation that employs the Workload Formula with a base of \$98,000, prorated to the amount that remains after the allocation described in Recommendation 2 and after reduction by 0.5 percent to hold as a reserve for Cohort One courts that require additional program funding, with any unspent funding from the court allocations and this reserve redistributed through the reallocation process via the approved methodology; and
- 4. Direct Judicial Council staff to survey Cohort One courts by February 2024 and bring a reallocation proposal to the TCBAC for March 2024 Judicial Council action.

The proposed allocations are itemized in the tables of Attachment A.

# **Relevant Previous Council Action**

On January 20, 2023, the Judicial Council approved an allocation methodology to distribute \$2.8 million in planning funds to the seven courts making up the first cohort of courts implementing the CARE Act in FY 2022–23.<sup>1</sup> The council also directed the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee to develop an allocation methodology for CARE Act funding to the courts in FY 2023–24 and subsequent years.

# Analysis/Rationale

The Budget Act of 2023 includes a total of \$20 million to fund court operations related to the CARE Act in 2023–24 (Attachment A). This funding consists of \$8.7 million to support hearing-related costs for Cohort One courts that will hear CARE Act cases in 2023–24 (the Superior Courts of Glenn, Orange, Riverside, San Diego, San Francisco, Stanislaus, and Tuolumne Counties), and \$11.3 million to support other court staff and operations for all courts. Attachment A includes the detail on how the hearing-related and other court operations costs were estimated.

The TCBAC discussed methodology options for three allocations of the 2023–24 funds to courts:

- 1. All hearing-related funds allocated to Cohort One courts;
- 2. Other court staff and operations funds allocated to Cohort One courts; and
- 3. Other court staff and operations funds allocated to Cohort Two courts for planning.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Subsequent references to year spans are to fiscal years. Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Budget: Community Assistance, Recovery, and Empowerment (CARE) Act Allocation Methodology* (Nov. 29, 2022), https://icc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=11534097&GUID=9FC7F7C5-8C5F-4D79-970C-FC1A78752C5A.

### **Option 1: Allocate \$8.7 million for hearing-related funds to the courts in Cohort One**

Table 1 compares the four allocation methodologies discussed for Option 1. In the recommended methodology, Workload Formula with a base funding amount, the base selected is 25 CARE Act cases at an estimated cost of \$93,225.

# **Option 2: Allocate an additional approximate amount of \$3.6 million in other court operations funds to the courts in Cohort One**

In the CARE Act budget change proposal (Link A), the Department of Finance has proposed that other court operations funds be estimated at a statewide amount of \$21.2 million, which could fund approximately 116 full-time equivalent (FTE) positions in the courts. This annual amount is phased in over three fiscal years: \$11.3 million in 2023–24; \$17.2 million in 2024–25; and \$21.2 million in 2025–26.

The \$11.3 million allocated in 2023–24 is for two purposes. The first is to fund the operations other than hearing-related costs of the Cohort One courts that will be hearing cases in the fiscal year. The second is to fund planning activities of the Cohort Two courts that will begin hearing cases in 2024–25.

In Table 2, staff estimated what the range of methodologies would be to allocate to all courts when the operations funds, totaling \$17.2 million for 2024–25, are available. Allocating this amount for operations to the Cohort One courts only would ensure that their allocation does not drop when Cohort Two begins operations in 2024–25. Table 3a shows the Cohort One courts with this "full" operations amount allocated.

Because the council approved the recommendation of a \$98,000 floor in allocating the operations funds to ensure that small courts have sufficient resources, this floor was retained in Table 2 and following.

# **Option 3: Allocate an additional approximate amount of \$7.6 million in other court operations funds to the courts in Cohort Two**

This allocation is presented in Table 3b. The available funds distributed by the four different methodologies were arrived at by netting out the amount distributed to the Cohort One courts in Option 2.

**Superior Court of Los Angeles County.** The 2023–24 Governor's May Revision included additional funding for Los Angeles County to implement the CARE Act in FY 2023–24. A request to allocate that funding will be brought to the council in a later report.

**Reallocation.** Judicial Council staff are currently engaged with the leadership of Cohort One courts that are planning implementation while executive branch and county leadership also plan CARE Act implementation. The number of CARE Act petitions and cases and the cost to the courts of the associated workload are uncertain. The TCBAC recommends that Judicial Council staff survey Cohort One courts if case numbers and costs from January to February 2024 change

and bring to the TCBAC a proposal for reallocating unspent funds for March 2024 Judicial Council action.

## **Policy implications**

There are no policy implications to consider for the recommended allocation.

## Comments

Circulation for public comment was not required for this report.

### Alternatives considered

The committee considered four allocation methodologies for each of the three allocations recommended in this report:

- 1. Allocation by county population;
- 2. Allocation by total filings;
- 3. Allocation by the FY 2022–23 Workload Formula data; and
- 4. Allocation by the FY 2022–23 Workload Formula, with a floor to ensure that small courts have sufficient resources to plan implementation.

Detail on the impact of each allocation methodology is included in Attachment A.

# **Fiscal and Operational Impacts**

This recommendation is for the allocation of funds that are included in the FY 2023–24 budget. No additional costs or impacts are anticipated.

# Attachments

- 1. Attachment A: Allocation Tables 1 through 3b
- 2. Link A: Budget Request 0250-107-BCP-2023-GB, Ongoing CARE Act Court Implementation,

https://esd.dof.ca.gov/Documents/bcp/2324/FY2324\_ORG0250\_BCP6672.pdf

#### Table 1. Allocating Hearing-Related Funds to Cohort 1 Courts

Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H	Col. I	Col. J	Col. K	Col. L	Col. M	
	Allocate	d by County F	Population	Allocated by Total Filings			Allocated by Fir	nal Workloa	ad Allocation	Allocated by Final Workload Allocation with 25 Case Base			
Court	Population	Percentage	Distribution	Total Filings	Percentage	Distribution	Final Workload Allocation	Percent- age	Distribution	Base: 25 Cases	Final Workload Allocation	Total Distribution	
Glenn	28,750	0.28%	\$ 24,212	825	0.08%	\$ 7,049	2,997,045	0.50%	\$ 43,366	93,225	-	\$ 93,225	
Orange	3,162,245	30.52%	2,663,103	356,727	34.93%	3,048,070	188,291,022	31.22%	2,724,521	93,225	2,554,597	2,647,822	
Riverside	2,435,525	23.51%	2,051,091	270,180	26.46%	2,308,565	137,769,526	22.85%	1,993,488	93,225	1,869,158	1,962,383	
San Diego	3,287,306	31.73%	2,768,424	268,198	26.26%	2,291,630	173,468,681	28.77%	2,510,045	93,225	2,353,498	2,446,723	
San Francisco	842,754	8.13%	709,730	57,681	5.65%	492,858	63,222,900	10.48%	914,818	93,225	857,763	950,988	
Stanislaus	549,466	5.30%	462,736	60,913	5.96%	520,474	32,303,460	5.36%	467,422	93,225	438,270	531,495	
Tuolumne	55,291	0.53%	46,564	6,696	0.66%	57,214	4,989,596	0.83%	72,198	93,225	-	93,225	
Total	10,361,337	100.00%	\$ 8,725,860	1,021,220	100.00%	\$ 8,725,860	\$ 603,042,230	100.00%	\$ 8,725,860	\$ 652,575	\$ 8,073,285	\$ 8,725,860	

Notes. Base is \$93,225, an estimate of the cost of 25 Case Filings (including cost of 38 Petitions).

#### Table 2. Estimating Allocation of Court Operations Budget When All Courts Participate in FY 2024–2025

Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H	Col. I	Col. J	Col. K	Col. L		Col. M
	Allocate	d by County F	opulation	Alloc	ated by Total	Filings	Allocated by Fir	nal Workloa	Allocated by Final Workload Allocation With 0.5 FTE Base				
Court	Population	Percentage	Distribution	Total Filings	Percentage	Distribution	Final Workload Allocation	Percent- age	Distribution	Base: 0.50 FTE	Final Workload Allocation	Dis	Total stribution
Alameda	1,651,979	4.22%	724,010	175,241	3.98%	683,341	89,736,650	3.56%	611,174	98,000	440,044	\$	538,044
Alpine	1,200	0.00%	526	1,578	0.04%	6,153	978,500	0.04%	6,664	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Amador	40,297	0.10%	17,661	5,664	0.13%	22,086	4,508,080	0.18%	30,703	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Butte	201,608	0.51%	88,358	26,130	0.59%	101,892	13,971,923	0.55%	95,159	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Calaveras	45,049	0.11%	19,744	3,879	0.09%	15,126	3,478,322	0.14%	23,690	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Colusa	21,807	0.06%	9,557	6,785	0.15%	26,458	2,506,641	0.10%	17,072	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Contra Costa	1,156,555	2.95%	506,881	96,049	2.18%	374,537	53,284,741	2.11%	362,909	98,000	261,294	\$	359,294
Del Norte	27,218	0.07%	11,929	6,026	0.14%	23,498	3,867,969	0.15%	26,344	98,000	-	\$	98,000
El Dorado	190,465	0.49%	83,475	16,195	0.37%	63,151	9,526,802	0.38%	64,885	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Fresno	1,011,273	2.58%	443,209	111,680	2.54%	435,489	63,747,461	2.53%	434,168	98,000	312,600	\$	410,600
Glenn	28,750	0.07%	12,600	825	0.02%	3,217	2,997,045	0.12%	20,412	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Humboldt	135,168	0.34%	59,240	16,127	0.37%	62,886	8,921,029	0.35%	60,759	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Imperial	179,329	0.46%	78,594	38,108	0.87%	148,600	10,504,343	0.42%	71,543	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Inyo	18,978	0.05%	8,317	10,431	0.24%	40,675	2,549,184	0.10%	17,362	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Kern	909,813	2.32%	398,742	123,000	2.79%	479,631	64,062,338	2.54%	436,313	98,000	314,144	\$	412,144
Kings	152,023	0.39%	66,627	20,962	0.48%	81,740	11,101,306	0.44%	75,608	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Lake	67,407	0.17%	29,542	9,247	0.21%	36,058	5,096,756	0.20%	34,713	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Lassen	30,274	0.08%	13,268	4,809	0.11%	18,752	2,800,148	0.11%	19,071	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Los Angeles	9,861,224	25.17%	4,321,860	1,198,563	27.21%	4,673,721	732,713,543	29.06%	4,990,334	98,000	3,593,029	\$	3,691,029
Madera	157,396	0.40%	68,982	21,984	0.50%	85,725	12,403,858	0.49%	84,480	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Marin	257,135	0.66%	112,694	32,466	0.74%	126,599	14,327,907	0.57%	97,584	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Mariposa	17,045	0.04%	7,470	2,163	0.05%	8,434	1,853,846	0.07%	12,626	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Mendocino	89,999	0.23%	39,444	18,539	0.42%	72,292	7,646,197	0.30%	52,076	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Merced	284,338	0.73%	124,616	48,719	1.11%	189,977	17,012,600	0.67%	115,869	98,000	83,425	\$	181,425
Modoc	8,690	0.02%	3,809	1,723	0.04%	6,719	1,406,022	0.06%	9,576	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Mono	13,379	0.03%	5,864	6,843	0.16%	26,684	2,439,556	0.10%	16,615	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Monterey	433,716	1.11%	190,084	50,844	1.15%	198,263	26,329,671	1.04%	179,325	98,000	129,114	\$	227,114
Napa	136,179	0.35%	59,683	13,821	0.31%	53,894	9,282,739	0.37%	63,222	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Nevada	101,242	0.26%	44,371	11,036	0.25%	43,034	6,639,488	0.26%	45,220	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Orange	3,162,245	8.07%	1,385,911	356,727	8.10%	1,391,035	188,291,022	7.47%	1,282,404	98,000	923,328	\$	1,021,328
Placer	409,025	1.04%	179,263	35,657	0.81%	139,042	25,173,615	1.00%	171,451	98,000	123,445	\$	221,445
Plumas	18,942	0.05%	8,302	2,262	0.05%	8,821	1,915,282	0.08%	13,045	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Riverside	2,435,525	6.22%	1,067,413	270,180	6.13%	1,053,550	137,769,526	5.46%	938,315	98,000	675,585	\$	773,585
Sacramento	1,576,618	4.02%	690,981	203,094	4.61%	791,952	108,993,944	4.32%	742,331	98,000	534,477	\$	632,477
San Benito	65,479	0.17%	28,697	6,235	0.14%	24,313	4,808,390	0.19%	32,749	98,000	-	\$	98,000
San Bernardino	2,187,665	5.58%	958,784	242,713	5.51%	946,444	144,252,144	5.72%	982,466	98,000	707,374	\$	805,374
San Diego	3,287,306	8.39%	1,440,721	268,198	6.09%	1,045,821	173,468,681	6.88%	1,181,453	98,000	850,644	\$	948,644

San Francisco	842,754	2.15%	369.352	57,681	1.31%	224,923	63,222,900	2.51%	430,596	98,000	310.028	ć	408.028
San Joaquin	- / -		,	,								ې د	
· · ·	784,298	2.00%	343,733	80,765	1.83%	314,938	51,550,851	2.04%	351,100	98,000	252,791	Ş	350,791
San Luis Obispo	280,721	0.72%	123,031	40,157	0.91%	156,590	18,799,273	0.75%	128,037	98,000	92,187	Ş	190,187
San Mateo	744,662	1.90%	326,362	93,767	2.13%	365,639	43,346,545	1.72%	295,223	98,000	212,560	\$	310,560
Santa Barbara	445,164	1.14%	195,101	55 <i>,</i> 658	1.26%	217,035	27,473,608	1.09%	187,116	98,000	134,723	\$	232,723
Santa Clara	1,894,783	4.84%	830,423	143,331	3.25%	558,910	96,100,018	3.81%	654,514	98,000	471,249	\$	569,249
Santa Cruz	266,564	0.68%	116,826	29,207	0.66%	113,891	17,003,334	0.67%	115,806	98,000	83,380	\$	181,380
Shasta	180,531	0.46%	79,121	36,616	0.83%	142,782	16,359,995	0.65%	111,424	98,000	80,225	\$	178,225
Sierra	3,229	0.01%	1,415	498	0.01%	1,942	978,500	0.04%	6,664	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Siskiyou	43,830	0.11%	19,209	9,527	0.22%	37,150	4,337,464	0.17%	29,541	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Solano	447,241	1.14%	196,011	46,447	1.05%	181,117	29,080,663	1.15%	198,061	98,000	142,604	\$	240,604
Sonoma	482,404	1.23%	211,422	45,596	1.04%	177,799	30,554,838	1.21%	208,102	98,000	149,833	\$	247,833
Stanislaus	549,466	1.40%	240,813	60,913	1.38%	237,526	32,303,460	1.28%	220,011	98,000	158,407	\$	256,407
Sutter	99,145	0.25%	43,452	14,733	0.33%	57,450	8,164,586	0.32%	55,607	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Tehama	65,052	0.17%	28,510	11,045	0.25%	43,069	6,113,757	0.24%	41,639	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Trinity	16,023	0.04%	7,022	2,535	0.06%	9,885	2,142,278	0.08%	14,591	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Tulare	475,014	1.21%	208,183	67,667	1.54%	263,863	32,165,439	1.28%	219,071	98,000	157,731	\$	255,731
Tuolumne	55,291	0.14%	24,232	6,696	0.15%	26,111	4,989,596	0.20%	33,983	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Ventura	833,652	2.13%	365,363	104,140	2.36%	406,087	44,799,399	1.78%	305,118	98,000	219,684	\$	317,684
Yolo	221,165	0.56%	96,930	22,826	0.52%	89,009	15,477,215	0.61%	105,412	98,000	75,896	\$	173,896
Yuba	82,275	0.21%	36,059	9,866	0.22%	38,472	6,239,055	0.25%	42,493	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Total	39,185,605	100.00%	17,173,800	4,404,174	100.00%	17,173,800	2,521,570,045	100.00%	17,173,800	5,684,000	11,489,800	\$ 1 <sup>°</sup>	7,173,800

#### Table 3. Allocations of Court Operations Budgets for Cohort 1 and Cohort 2

#### Table 3a. Cohort 1 Allocation

Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H	Col. I	Col. J	Col. K	Col. L	Col. M	
Court	Allocate	d by County I	Population	Allocated by Total Filings			Allocated by Fir	al Workloa	ad Allocation	Allocated by Final Workload Allocation with 0.5 FTE Base			
	Population	Percentage	Distribution	Total Filings	Percentage	Distribution	Final Workload Allocation	Percent- age	Distribution	Base: 0.50 FTE	Final Workload Allocation	Total Distribution	
Glenn	28,750	0.07%	12,600	825	0.02%	3,217	2,997,045	0.12%	20,412	98,000	-	<mark>\$ 98,000</mark>	
Orange	3,162,245	8.07%	1,385,911	356,727	8.10%	1,391,035	188,291,022	7.47%	1,282,404	98,000	923,328	<mark>\$ 1,021,328</mark>	
Riverside	2,435,525	6.22%	1,067,413	270,180	6.13%	1,053,550	137,769,526	5.46%	938,315	98,000	675,585	\$ 773,585	
San Diego	3,287,306	8.39%	1,440,721	268,198	6.09%	1,045,821	173,468,681	6.88%	1,181,453	98,000	850,644	<mark>\$ 948,644</mark>	
San Francisco	842,754	2.15%	369,352	57,681	1.31%	224,923	63,222,900	2.51%	430,596	98,000	310,028	\$ 408,028	
Stanislaus	549,466	1.40%	240,813	60,913	1.38%	237,526	32,303,460	1.28%	220,011	98,000	158,407	\$ 256,407	
Tuolumne	55,291	0.14%	24,232	6,696	0.15%	26,111	4,989,596	0.20%	33,983	98,000	-	\$ 98,000	
Total	10,361,337	26.44%	4,541,043	1,021,220	23.19%	3,982,183	603,042,230	23.92%	4,107,174	686,000	2,917,992	\$ 3,603,992	

#### Table 3b. Cohort 2 Allocation

Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H	Col. I	Col. J	Col. K	Col. L	(	Col. M
	Allocated	d by County P	opulation	Alloc	ated by Total	Filings	Allocated by Fir	al Workloa	ad Allocation	Allocated by Final Workload Allocation With 0.5 FTE Base			
Court	Population	Percentage	Distribution	Total Filings	Percentage	Distribution	Final Workload Allocation	Percent- age	Distribution	Base: 0.50 FTE	Final Workload Allocation		Total tribution
Alameda	1,651,979	5.73%	386,003	175,241	5.18%	377,692	89,736,650	4.68%	335,218	98,000	146,228	\$	244,228
Alpine	1,200	0.00%	280	1,578	0.05%	3,401	978,500	0.05%	3,655	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Amador	40,297	0.14%	9,416	5,664	0.17%	12,207	4,508,080	0.23%	16,840	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Butte	201,608	0.70%	47,108	26,130	0.77%	56,317	13,971,923	0.73%	52,193	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Calaveras	45,049	0.16%	10,526	3,879	0.11%	8,360	3,478,322	0.18%	12,994	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Colusa	21,807	0.08%	5,095	6,785	0.20%	14,624	2,506,641	0.13%	9,364	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Contra Costa	1,156,555	4.01%	270,242	96,049	2.84%	207,011	53,284,741	2.78%	199,049	98,000	86,829	\$	184,829
Del Norte	27,218	0.09%	6,360	6,026	0.18%	12,988	3,867,969	0.20%	14,449	98,000	-	\$	98,000
El Dorado	190,465	0.66%	44,504	16,195	0.48%	34,905	9,526,802	0.50%	35,588	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Fresno	1,011,273	3.51%	236,295	111,680	3.30%	240,700	63,747,461	3.32%	238,134	98,000	103,878	\$	201,878
Humboldt	135,168	0.47%	31,584	16,127	0.48%	34,758	8,921,029	0.46%	33,325	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Imperial	179,329	0.62%	41,902	38,108	1.13%	82,133	10,504,343	0.55%	39,240	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Inyo	18,978	0.07%	4,434	10,431	0.31%	22,482	2,549,184	0.13%	9,523	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Kern	909,813	3.16%	212,588	123,000	3.64%	265,098	64,062,338	3.34%	239,310	98,000	104,391	\$	202,391
Kings	152,023	0.53%	35,522	20,962	0.62%	45,179	11,101,306	0.58%	41,470	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Lake	67,407	0.23%	15,750	9,247	0.27%	19,930	5,096,756	0.27%	19,039	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Lassen	30,274	0.11%	7,074	4,809	0.14%	10,365	2,800,148	0.15%	10,460	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Los Angeles	9,861,224	34.21%	2,304,185	1,198,563	35.43%	2,583,226	732,713,543	38.19%	2,737,109	98,000	1,193,977	\$ 1	L,291,977
Madera	157,396	0.55%	36,777	21,984	0.65%	47,381	12,403,858	0.65%	46,336	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Marin	257,135	0.89%	60,082	32,466	0.96%	69,973	14,327,907	0.75%	53,523	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Mariposa	17,045	0.06%	3,983	2,163	0.06%	4,662	1,853,846	0.10%	6,925	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Mendocino	89,999	0.31%	21,029	18,539	0.55%	39,957	7,646,197	0.40%	28,563	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Merced	284,338	0.99%	66,439	48,719	1.44%	105,003	17,012,600	0.89%	63,552	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Modoc	8,690	0.03%	2,031	1,723	0.05%	3,714	1,406,022	0.07%	5,252	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Mono	13,379	0.05%	3,126	6,843	0.20%	14,749	2,439,556	0.13%	9,113	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Monterey	433,716	1.50%	101,343	50,844	1.50%	109,583	26,329,671	1.37%	98,357	98,000	42,905	\$	140,905
Napa	136,179	0.47%	31,820	13,821	0.41%	29,788	9,282,739	0.48%	34,676	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Nevada	101,242	0.35%	23,656	11,036	0.33%	23,786	6,639,488	0.35%	24,802	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Placer	409,025	1.42%	95,573	35,657	1.05%	76,850	25,173,615	1.31%	94,038	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Plumas	18,942	0.07%	4,426	2,262	0.07%	4,875	1,915,282	0.10%	7,155	98,000	-	\$	98,000
Sacramento	1,576,618	5.47%	368,394	203,094	6.00%	437,722	108,993,944	5.68%	407,155	98,000	177,609	\$	275,609
San Benito	65,479	0.23%	15,300	6,235	0.18%	13,438	4,808,390	0.25%	17,962	98,000	-	\$	98,000
San Bernardino	2,187,665	7.59%	511,172	242,713	7.17%	523,112	144,252,144	7.52%	538,865	98,000	235,063	\$	333,063
San Joaquin	784,298	2.72%	183,260	80,765	2.39%	174,070	51,550,851	2.69%	192,572	98,000	84,004	\$	182,004
San Luis Obispo	280,721	0.97%	65,594	40,157	1.19%	86,549	18,799,273	0.98%	70,226	98,000	-	\$	98,000
San Mateo	744,662	2.58%	173,999	93,767	2.77%	202,093	43,346,545	2.26%	161,924	98,000	70,634	\$	168,634
Santa Barbara	445,164	1.54%	104,018	55,658	1.65%	119,958	27,473,608	1.43%	102,630	98,000	44,769	\$	142,769

Santa Clara	1,894,783	6.57%	442,737	143,331	4.24%	308,917	96,100,018	5.01%	358,989	98,000	156,598	\$ 254,598
Santa Cruz	266,564	0.92%	62,286	29,207	0.86%	62,949	17,003,334	0.89%	63,517	98,000	-	\$ 98,000
Shasta	180,531	0.63%	42,183	36,616	1.08%	78,917	16,359,995	0.85%	61,114	98,000	-	\$ 98,000
Sierra	3,229	0.01%	754	498	0.01%	1,073	978,500	0.05%	3,655	98,000	-	\$ 98,000
Siskiyou	43,830	0.15%	10,241	9,527	0.28%	20,533	4,337,464	0.23%	16,203	98,000	-	\$ 98,000
Solano	447,241	1.55%	104,503	46,447	1.37%	100,106	29,080,663	1.52%	108,633	98,000	47,388	\$ 145,388
Sonoma	482,404	1.67%	112,719	45,596	1.35%	98,272	30,554,838	1.59%	114,140	98,000	49,790	\$ 147,790
Sutter	99,145	0.34%	23,166	14,733	0.44%	31,754	8,164,586	0.43%	30,499	98,000	-	\$ 98,000
Tehama	65,052	0.23%	15,200	11,045	0.33%	23,805	6,113,757	0.32%	22,838	98,000	-	\$ 98,000
Trinity	16,023	0.06%	3,744	2,535	0.07%	5,464	2,142,278	0.11%	8,003	98,000	-	\$ 98,000
Tulare	475,014	1.65%	110,992	67,667	2.00%	145,841	32,165,439	1.68%	120,157	98,000	52,414	\$ 150,414
Ventura	833,652	2.89%	194,792	104,140	3.08%	224,450	44,799,399	2.34%	167,352	98,000	73,002	\$ 171,002
Yolo	221,165	0.77%	51,678	22,826	0.67%	49,196	15,477,215	0.81%	57,816	98,000	-	\$ 98,000
Yuba	82,275	0.29%	19,224	9,866	0.29%	21,264	6,239,055	0.33%	23,306	98,000	-	\$ 98,000
Total	28,824,268	100.00%	6,735,112	3,382,954	100.00%	7,291,178	1,918,527,815	100.00%	7,166,812	4,998,000	2,669,478	7,667,478
Reserve			33,845			36,639			36,014			38,530

#### Sources

Department of Finance, Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties, and the State-January 1, 2022 and 2023 (E1),

https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/estimates-e1/

Judicial Council of Cal., Trial Court Budget: Allocations From the Trial Court Trust Fund and Trial Court Allocations for 2023–23, Unpublished.

Attachment C: 2022-23 Workload Formula Allocation.

Judicial Council of Cal., 2022 Court Statistics Report: Statewide Caseload Trends 2011-12 Through 2020-21, p.90.

www.courts.ca.gov/documents/2022-Court-Statistics-Report.pdf