

**1. 20CV00109 Belyew, Lisa v. Belyew, Tait**

*EVENT: Plaintiff's Motion to Set Aside Dismissal*

Plaintiff's Motion to set aside the dismissal is granted. A further Case Management Conference is hereby scheduled for August 12, 2026 at 10:30 am. Based on Plaintiff's representation that she is no longer incarcerated as of February 2026, Plaintiff is expected to appear at all future hearings including Case Management Conference hearings. If Plaintiff fails to appear and participate in future hearings, the Court will consider dismissing the case for failure to prosecute. The Court shall mail notice of this order to the parties.

**2. 20CV01737 Zebley, David v. Zolla Financial, LLC et al.**

*EVENT: Receiver's Motion for Order Approving Settlement Agreement*

Receiver's Motion for Order Approving Settlement Agreement is GRANTED. The Court will sign the proposed order.

**3-4. 23CV00871 Hector, David v. Murphy, Matthew et al.**

*EVENT: (1) Defendants' Motion for Order Compelling Responses to Supplemental Interrogatories and for Monetary Sanctions*

*(2) Defendants' Motion for Order Compelling Responses to Supplemental Demand for Inspection and Production of Documents*

The Court is in receipt of two documents filed by Plaintiff on May 27, 2026 which appear to oppose the motion. Those filings are not being considered by the Court, as the filings are egregiously late. CCP 1005 required any opposition be filed no later than May 20. Also, there is no proof of service attached to Plaintiff's documents which demonstrate defense counsel was served with the documents. Opposing counsel must be served

with all documents filed with the Court and a proof of service which complies with the Code of Civil Procedure must be filed.

As a result, both Defendants' Motion for Order Compelling Responses to Supplemental Interrogatories and Compelling Responses to Supplemental Demand for Inspection and Production of Documents, are GRANTED. Plaintiff is ordered to provide code compliant responses without objections, which include verifications, to Supplemental Interrogatories (set one) and Supplemental Demand for Inspection and Production of Documents (set one) within 10 days' notice of this order. Plaintiff is sanctioned in the amount of \$508.14 per motion, for a total of \$1,016.28. Sanctions are payable within 20 days' notice of this order.

Defendant shall prepare a form of order consistent with this ruling within 2 weeks.

**5. 23CV01923 Greenberg, Stuart et al v. Jacques, Michael et al**

*EVENT: Plaintiff's Third Motion to Compel Further Responses to Special Interrogatories, Set One and Form Interrogatories, Set One, and Request for Sanctions*

Counsel is ordered to appear and provide the Court with an update concerning the selection of a discovery referee. At the May 20 hearing the Court directed counsel to file a status report no later than June 1. However, as of this writing there is no status report on file.

Regarding the instant motion, it was filed less than one week before the hearing. Plaintiff's counsel is admonished for failing to comply with CCP 1005 which requires the motion to be filed a minimum of 16 court days before the hearing unless otherwise authorized by the Court.

This motion, along with all subsequent discovery motions, will be assigned to the discovery referee.

**6. 25CV04208 Curtis-Brown, Stephanie v. Marple, Larry et al**

*EVENT: Plaintiff's Motion for Deemed Admissions and Request for Sanctions*

Plaintiff's Motion for Deemed Admissions is moot in light of the responses provided while this motion was pending. The Court finds the responses were untimely and as a result all objections are waived.

As to sanctions, contrary to Defendant's position, the failure to timely respond can, under certain circumstances, warrant sanctions in and of itself. The critical consideration is whether or not it was reasonable for Plaintiff to file the motion at the time the motion was filed. Considering these requests were initially served in December 2025 and that a generous extension from 3/6 – 4/5 was provided, it was reasonable to file a motion two days after that extension had expired. The fact responses were not provided for roughly another 3 weeks after the motion was filed demonstrates the motion was appropriate at the time it was filed.

Defendant (not defense counsel) is sanctioned in the amount of \$2,160.00. Sanctions are payable within 20 days. Plaintiff shall prepare the form of order within two weeks.

**7-8. 25CV04386 Wells Fargo Bank, N A v. Yang, Lang**

*EVENT:*

- (1) *Plaintiff's Motion for Order Deeming the Truth of the Matters Specified in Plaintiff's Request for Admissions as Admitted*
- (2) *Case Management Conference*

Plaintiff's Motion for Order Deeming the Truth of the Matters Specified in Plaintiff's Request for Admissions as Admitted is GRANTED. The Court will sign the proposed order.

9. **26CV00037 In re: Castillo Carmona, Maryisela**

*EVENT: Change of name (adult) (Continued from 3/18/26 and 4/22/26)*

The Court will hear from Petitioner re: status of proof of publication.

10. **26CV00655 In re: Conkey-Wiebelhaus, Illiana Jerene**

*EVENT: Change of name (minor) (Continued from 4/29/26)*

The Court finds sufficient circumstances exist to waive the notice requirement as to father. The Court is in receipt of the proof of publication and will sign the decree provided.

11. **26CV00757 In re: Clark, Victoria**

*EVENT: Change of name (adult)*

The Court is in receipt of the proof of publication and will sign the decree provided.

12. **26CV01143 Cool Time LLC et al v. Power, Stacie Lynn et al.**

*EVENT: OSC re: Contempt*

Plaintiff's OSC re: Contempt is DENIED.

Contempt must be proved beyond a reasonable doubt. (*In re Martin* (1977) 71 Cal.App.3d 472,480). The substantive issue here is whether there is willful disobedience of the Court's Order. (See, *Conn v. Superior Court* (1987) 196 Cal. App. 3d 774, 784).

The Court is faced with a “he said, she said” dispute. Plaintiff essentially says they previously had access to broad reporting functions in AppFolio. Defendant says they did not. Mr. Lux’s declaration (Exhibit 4) establishes that while some reports are accessible with view only access, accounting reports are not. Notably, Plaintiff submits no evidence by way of example of a type of report Plaintiff made prior to the discontinuation of service that they now claim they cannot access.

Based on the evidence before the Court, Plaintiff has not met its burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt that Defendants violated paragraph 4 of the Court’s Order.

**13. 26CV01237 In re: Stowie, Joshua Eugene**

*EVENT: Change of name (minor)*

There is no proof of publication on file. Upon the filing of the proof of publication, the Court will sign the decree provided.

**14. 26CV01240 In re: Guzman Jr, Gregorio Garcia**

*EVENT: Change of name (adult)*

The Court is in receipt of the proof of publication and will sign the decree provided.