

**Judge Mosbarger – Law & Motion – Wednesday, September 10, 2025 @ 9:00 AM  
TENTATIVE RULINGS**

**1. 22CV00073 DUBUG NO 7, INC, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION V. SODERLING, JAY ET AL**

*EVENT: Defendant and Cross-Complainant Laurie Hansen's Motion to Compel Responses to Requests for Production of Documents, Set One, and Deem Requests for Admissions, Sets Two and Three Admitted; with Request to Strike the Answer of Cross-Defendant Aurora Ridge Homes, Inc; and, Award Sanctions in the Amount of \$1,660*

The Motion is granted, in part. The Court finds that while terminating sanctions are not warranted on this record, and the Motion is denied as to the request to strike Aurora Ridge Homes, Inc.'s Answer to the Cross-Complaint, the Court does grant the Motion in all other regards, and orders: (1) Defendant/Cross-Defendant Aurora Ridge Homes, Inc is to provide responses without objections to Defendant/Cross-Complainant Laurie Hansen's Requests for Production of Documents, Set One, within two weeks; (2) Defendant/Cross-Complainant Laurie Hansen's Requests for Admissions, Set Two and Requests for Admissions, Set Three, propounded to Aurora Ridge Homes, Inc., are deemed admitted; and (3) Defendant/Cross-Defendant Aurora Ridge Homes, Inc. shall pay attorney fees and costs as sanctions in the sum of \$1,660 to Defendant/Cross-Complainant Laurie Hansen, which are to be paid within 30 days. The Court will utilize the form of order submitted by counsel, striking the Paragraph relating to terminating sanctions [Page 2, Lines 10-11].

**2. 24CV00889 CLIFTON, ANGELA V. SAYALATH, PAUL**

*EVENT: Motion for Order Deeming the Truth of Facts and Genuineness of Documents and Imposing Monetary Sanctions*

There is no proof of service in the Court's file and as such, the Court is unable to determine whether sufficient notice was provided as required by *Code of Civil Procedure* §1005, notice is deemed insufficient, and the Motion is denied.

**3. 24CV01332 JAEGEL, ANTON FRANK V. GENERAL MOTORS, LLC**

*EVENT: General Motors LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment*

In light of Plaintiff's filing of a First Amended Complaint on August 21, 2025, Defendant General Motors LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment is moot and is off calendar. See *State Compensation Ins. Fund v. Superior Court* (2010) 184 Cal. App. 4th 1124, 1131. However, pursuant to *Code of Civil Procedure* §473c(a)(4) the Court shall permit Defendant General Motors LLC to file a second motion for summary judgment if it so desires, without the need to seek further leave of Court.

4. **24CV02836 BROUHARD, WILLIAM J ET AL V. HONEYCUTT, STEPHEN D**

*EVENT: Motion for Order Confirming Sale of Real Property*

The Court will make inquiry as to any overbids on the subject real property, if none are received the Court will grant the Motion and sign the form of order submitted by counsel.